IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST MARCH 2007 / 30TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 7496 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

PRASEED BABU, PRASANNA NIVAS,
P.O.ORKATTERY VIA, VATAKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.M.A.FAYAZ

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, KANNUR**
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. **RGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER, KANNUR.**

3. **RGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, VATAKARA.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.N.SUDHADEVI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 21/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) NO.7496/2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED TO VEHICLE NO.KL.876 ON 2.2.1993.

EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE VARIATION APPLICATION DT.13.10.06 FILED BY P.P. MUSTHAFA.

EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE ROUTE SKETCH.

EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF R.T.A. KANNUR DT.26.10.06.

EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE ORDER ALLOWING REPLACEMENT OF VEHICLE KL. 18.B.3132 PASSED BY DO.

EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE TIME SCHEDULE OF VEHICLE KL.18.B.3132.

/TRUE COPY/

tss

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

W.P.(C) NO. 7496 OF 2007-P

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is having a regular permit on the route Parapram – Thalassery – Mahe – Vadakara. He applied for variation of that permit. For variation, concurrence of the R.T.A., Vadakara is necessary. Awaiting concurrence, the consideration of the application was adjourned by the primary R.T.A., Kannur. Feeling aggrieved by the delay from the part of the above R.T.As to grant concurrence and to grant variation, this writ petition is filed.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader for the respondents also. The 3rd respondent is directed to take a decision on the claim of the petitioner for concurrence, for granting variation of the above said permit, for which motion has already been made by the Secretary, R.T.A., Kannur. The decision in this regard shall be taken by the R.T.A., Vadakara at its next meeting or any any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. After getting concurrence the 1st respondent shall pass final orders on the application of the petitioner for variation within

two months from the date of receipt of the concurrence from the 3rd respondent.

The Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

21st March, 2007. K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, JUDGE.

Nm/